

Sec. 5

9 November 1954

STATINTL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Colonel White

SUBJECT : Regulation [REDACTED] "Clearance of Personnel for Duty With CIA"

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

1. [REDACTED] of RCS, came to see me this morning with the subject Regulation in hand, and pointed out to me that Section 5.b.(2), the last in the paper, appeared to him to be in conflict with Regulation [REDACTED] "Employment Review Board," which latter issuance has just been put through.

2. It seems that [REDACTED] was approved prior to the presentation [REDACTED], so the conflict was not apparent at the time you signed [REDACTED] briefly, the trouble is this: [REDACTED] states that "the DCI may appoint an Employment Review Board to advise him in a particular case." [REDACTED] in its present form, establishes an appeals mechanism for consideration of rejected applicant cases (other than consultants) through the medium of "the Employment Review Board," which presumably was to be convened at the request of AD/P made to DDCI.

3. What we have then is a clear indication in [REDACTED] that the Employment Review Board will only be convened at the DCI's pleasure and on his own initiative; in [REDACTED] the Employment Review Board is convened through AD/P and DDCI to consider rejected applicants (on proper request from the sponsoring component). If you feel that it is indicated, perhaps we ought to ask [REDACTED] for an opinion on the extent of the conflict and the steps that ought to be taken to make [REDACTED] conform to [REDACTED] since I assume that the latter issuance is to be the overriding one.

4. In the meantime, I have asked RCS to suspend action on [REDACTED] which was ready for the printer, and have advised DAD/P and Mr. [REDACTED] in Security that I was holding it up until you had an opportunity to review the matter.

STATINTL